

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
SHREVEPORT DIVISION**

DAVID DRACH : **CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-0989**

VS. : **JUDGE S. MAURICE HICKS**

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, : **MAG. JUDGE KAREN L. HAYES**
COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On November 3, 2009, the undersigned issued an order which notified plaintiff that the court was considering dismissal because the case was over four months old and there was no return of service as to defendant. (Nov. 3, 2009, Notice of Intent to Dismiss [doc. # 4]). Plaintiff was allotted 15 calendar days to perfect and file the return of service, or to provide good cause for his inability to do so. *Id.* As of today, there is no indication in the record that defendant has been served, nor has plaintiff explained to the court his failure to serve defendant.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

In the absence of good cause, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide for dismissal of an unserved defendant when, as here, service is not perfected within 120 days after suit is filed. Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m). In the case *sub judice*, plaintiff neither provided any explanation for the improper service, nor requested an extension of time to properly perfect service.

Accordingly, good cause is lacking.¹

¹ "To establish good cause, a litigant must demonstrate 'at least as much as would be required to show excusable neglect, as to which simple inadvertence or mistake of counsel or ignorance of the rules usually does not suffice.'" *Systems Signs Supplies v. United States Dep't of*

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's suit be **DISMISSED**, without prejudice. LR 41.3W, Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m).

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(C) and FRCP Rule 72(b), the parties have **fourteen (14) days** from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court. A party may respond to another party's objections within **fourteen (14) days** after being served with a copy thereof. A courtesy copy of any objection or response or request for extension of time shall be furnished to the District Judge at the time of filing. Timely objections will be considered by the District Judge before he makes a final ruling.

A PARTY'S FAILURE TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WITHIN TEN (10) BUSINESS DAYS FROM THE DATE OF ITS SERVICE SHALL BAR AN AGGRIEVED PARTY, EXCEPT ON GROUNDS OF PLAIN ERROR, FROM ATTACKING ON APPEAL THE UNOBJECTED-TO PROPOSED FACTUAL FINDINGS AND LEGAL CONCLUSIONS ACCEPTED BY THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED at Monroe, Louisiana, this 7th day of December 2009.


KAREN L. HAYES
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Justice, 903 F.2d 1011 (5th Cir. 1990). Moreover, “the claimant must make a showing of good faith and establish ‘some reasonable basis for noncompliance within the time specified.’” *Id.* (quoting 4A C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 1165, at 480 (2d ed. 1987)).